

**Doc. D6. CEG 2002 Update**  
**Field 510**

The CONSER Task Force on A&I and ISSN Issues was set up a number of years ago to determine what to do with 510 data in CONSER records. They surveyed the community and results were 50/50 as to whether the data should be retained or removed. They did make certain decisions about new records, as described below. No definitive decision will be reached at this meeting but it would be useful to briefly revisit the issue.

**Possible addition to field 510:**

The CONSER Task Force on A&I and ISSN Issues determined in 1998 that, when a serial title changes, the 510 fields for indexing and abstracting services given in the record for the old title most often are applicable to the new title, also. When processing title changes, CONSER catalogers may routinely copy 510 fields of this kind from the record for the old title onto the record authenticated for the new title.

**Questions:**

- What are our current feelings about the 510?
  - Should we continue the policy of leaving in the records but not maintaining
  - Should we look to other solutions?
  - How often are you removing fields because of record length restrictions?
  - Should we add the paragraph above concerning title changes to the CEG?